

Substitution and Consistency Proof in Event-B

© Michael Butler

University of Southampton

May 22, 2010

Substitution

Substitute variable x with expression E in predicate Q :

$$[x := E] Q$$

In other words, **replace** all occurrences of variable x in predicate Q with expression E .

Example:

$$[n := 7] (0 < n \wedge n \leq 10) = 0 < 7 \wedge 7 \leq 10$$

Multiple Substitution

Can also have **multiple** substitution:

$$[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n := E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n] Q$$

Example:

$$[l, m, n := 0, 10, 7] (l < n \wedge n \leq m) = 0 < 7 \wedge 7 \leq 10$$

$$[x, y := y, x] (x \in S \wedge y \in T) = ?$$

$$[in, out := in \setminus \{u\}, out \cup \{u\}] (in \cap out = \{ \}) = ?$$

Preconditions and Postconditions

Precondition: holds **before** executing an assignment.

Postcondition: holds **after** executing an assignment.

Given an assignment A and a postcondition Q , can we determine the precondition under which A will establish Q ?

Example:

Assignment: $x := x - 1$

Postcondition: $x \geq 0$

Under what *precondition* will $x := x - 1$ establish $x \geq 0$?

Computing a Precondition

Preconditions can be computed from postconditions by treating an **assignment** as a **substitution**.

Substitution $[A] Q$ is the **precondition** under which assignment A will establish **postcondition** Q .

Example:

$$\begin{aligned} [x := x - 1] (x \geq 0) &= x - 1 \geq 0 \\ &= x \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

$x := x - 1$ establishes postcondition $x \geq 0$ provided $x \geq 1$ holds beforehand.

Machine consistency (preserving invariants)

A machine is **consistent** if all its events **preserve** the invariants.

An assignment A **preserves an invariant**, if:

$$I \implies [A] I$$

I is both a precondition and a postcondition.

Executing A will establish I provided I is true beforehand.

Example:

$$x > 0 \implies [x := x + 1](x > 0)$$

Example

An assignment S preserves an invariant, if:

$$I \quad \Longrightarrow \quad [A] I$$

Invariant: $x + y = C$

Assignment: $x, y := x + 1, y - 1$

Prove that the assignment preserves the invariant.

Using Event Guards

The **guards** of an event can be used as **assumptions** when proving that the action of an event preserves invariants.

$$Ev \quad = \quad \mathbf{any} \ x \ \mathbf{where} \ G \ \mathbf{then} \ A \ \mathbf{end}$$

The event Ev will preserve invariant I if:

$$I \wedge G \quad \Longrightarrow \quad [A] I$$

Executing A will establish I provided both G and I are true beforehand.

Example:

Invariant: $ctr \leq max$

Event: **when** $ctr < max$ **then** $ctr := ctr + 1$ **end**

Example

$$Inv1 : in \cap out = \{\}$$

$leave \hat{=} \mathbf{any } s \mathbf{ where}$
 $s \in in$
then
 $in, out := in \setminus \{s\}, out \cup \{s\}$
end

Show that $leave$ preserves $Inv1$.

Compositionality Principle

If

$$I1 \wedge I2 \implies [A] I1$$

and

$$I1 \wedge I2 \implies [A] I2$$

then

$$I1 \wedge I2 \implies [A] (I1 \wedge I2)$$

The compositionality principle means that consistency can be proved **separately** for each invariant.

Example

$$Inv1 \quad : \quad in \cap out = \{\}$$

$$Inv2 \quad : \quad in \cup out = register$$

Can show that

leave preserves *Inv1*

leave preserves *Inv2*

hence by the Compositionality Principle:

leave preserves $Inv1 \wedge Inv2$.

Rodin tool checks consistency

The Rodin tool generates consistency **proof obligations** for each event and each invariant.

Given an event Ev ,

Ev = **any x where G then A end**

a consistency proof obligation for invariant I and event Ev is an obligation to prove the following theorem:

$$J \wedge I \wedge G \implies [A] I$$

J represents the other invariants (besides I) of the machine being checked.

Consider the following B invariant and operation:

$$Inv1 : in \cup out = register$$

leave $\hat{=}$ **any** *s* **where**
 s $\in in$
 then
 in, out $:= in \setminus \{s\}, out \cup \{s\}$
 end

Prove that *leave* preserves *Inv1*.

You can assume the following law

$$x \in A \implies (A \setminus \{x\}) \cup (B \cup \{x\}) = A \cup B \quad (1)$$