


Refinement in UML-B

May, 2010

Colin Snook University of Southampton

Extending Context Diagrams

Starting from a Context Diagram with a Class Type



CONTEXT

$c\theta$

SETS

T // *ClassType*

CONSTANTS

a // *attribute of T*


AXIOMS

$a.type$: $a \in T \rightarrow \text{BOOL}$

$Axiom1$: $\exists t \cdot t \in T \Rightarrow a(t) = \text{TRUE}$

END

Make an Extension of this Context



- 1) Select context,
- 2) Click Button in Properties,
- 3) Makes a starting point for extending

Provides a basis for extending classtypes

An empty **ExtendedClassType**



CONTEXT

c1

EXTENDS

c0

END

Add Attributes and Axioms to Extend ClassType

◆ T
Attributes
◆ new: N
Axioms
◆ $\forall t \cdot t \in T \Rightarrow \text{new}(t) < 100$

CONTEXT

c1

EXTENDS

c0

CONSTANTS

new // *attribute of T*

AXIOMS


new.type : new $\in T \rightarrow N$

newAxiom : $\forall t \cdot t \in T \Rightarrow \text{new}(t) < 100$

END

Refining Class Diagrams

Starting from a Class Diagram



MACHINE

`m0`

SEES

`m0_implicitContext`

VARIABLES

`as0 // attribute of cl0`

INVARIANTS

`as0.type : as0 ∈ cl0 → BOOL`
`Invariant1 : as0 ▷ {FALSE} ≠ ∅`

EVENTS

INITIALISATION $\hat{=}$

STATUS

`ordinary`

BEGIN

`as0.init : as0 = cl0 × {FALSE}`

END

ev0 $\hat{=}$

STATUS

`ordinary`

ANY

`thisCl0 // contextual instance of class cl0`

WHERE

`thisCl0.type : thisCl0 ∈ cl0`
`ev0.Guard1 : (as0 ← {thisCl0 ▷ TRUE}) ▷ {FALSE} ≠ ∅`


THEN

`ev0.Action1 : as0(thisCl0) = TRUE`

END

END


“Make a refinement of this Machine”



Select Machine,
click Button in Properties,
Makes a starting point
for refinement

Basis for refinement

Refined Class



Copies of abstract **Events** are retained for refinement

Statemachines are copied ready for refinement (not shown)

```
MACHINE
  m1

REFINES
  m0

SEES
  m1_implicitContext

VARIABLES
  as0      //  inherited attribute of c10


EVENTS

  INITIALISATION  ≐
  STATUS
    ordinary
  BEGIN
    as0.init      :  as0 := c10 × {FALSE}
  END

  ev0  ≐
  STATUS
    ordinary
  REFINES
    ev0
  ANY
    thisCl0      //  contextual instance of refined class c10
  WHERE
    thisCl0.type  :  thisCl0 ∈ c10
    ev0.Guard1    :  (as0 ← {thisCl0 ↦ TRUE}) ▷ {FALSE} ≠ ∅
  THEN
    ev0.Action1   :  as0(thisCl0) := TRUE
  END

END
```

Refine a Class



add new attributes/associations

refine existing events
(strengthen guards, add actions,
split, merge)

add new events
(can only alter new variables)

add new invariants

Example – Bank Accounts and ATMs

ABSTRACT

Bank **accounts** have a **balance** which is zero when the account is **opened**. Money may then be **deposited** in the account, increasing the balance by some **amount**, or **withdrawn**, depleting the balance by some amount.

REFINEMENT


A **card** is associated with an account and withdrawals are made via an **ATM** machine.

The card is **inserted** into the ATM and either a successful **withdrawal** is completed and the card is **ejected** or the transaction **fails**.

(based on a case study by Mar Yah Said)

Example – Abstract


Note: for this example it is necessary to rename the contextual class instance from **self** to **thisAccount** so that it can be disambiguated when the event **withdraw** is moved to a different class.




Self Name:

```
parameter: amount ∈ N1  
action: balance(thisAccount) :=  
         balance(thisAccount) - amount
```

Example – Refinement




Example – Refinement




```

parameter: amount ∈ N1
witness: thisAccount = account(icard(thisATM))
action: balance(account(icard(thisATM))) :=
        balance(account(icard(thisATM))) - amount
    
```




Example – Refinement



parameter: amount $\in \mathbb{N}_1$

witness: thisAccount = account(icard(thisATM))

action: balance(account(icard(thisATM))) :=
balance(account(icard(thisATM))) - amount




Refining Statemachines


Slides show state sets translation.

Also works using state function translation

Starting from a Simple Statemachine



“Make a refinement of this Machine”





Same as for Class Refinement

Select Machine,
click Button in Properties,
Makes a starting point
for refinement

gives us..

Refined Statemachine



RefinedStates

MACHINE

m1

REFINES

m

SEES

m1_implicitContext

VARIABLES

S1 // state from refined statemachine, sm

S2 // state from refined statemachine, sm

EVENTS

INITIALISATION ≐

STATUS

ordinary

BEGIN

S1.init : S1 = TRUE

S2.init : S2 = FALSE

END

t1 ≐

STATUS

ordinary

REFINES

t1

WHEN

sm_isin_S1 : S1 = TRUE

THEN

sm_leaveState_S1 : S1 = FALSE

sm_enterState_S2 : S2 = TRUE

END

t2 ≐

What we **can't** do (when refining statemachines)

Cannot add new states

- state sets – would contradict the existing partition invariant

- state function – would alter the existing enumerated type

Cannot add completely new transitions

- new events must not alter old variables (e.g. state change would)

What can we do?

Refine the existing transitions

- strengthen guards

- add actions to alter any new variables



- split transitions (as long as they have same source and target state)

Can add things to a state

- Invariants

- Nested State-machines

Transition Splitting – Preparing for a Nested Statemachine




t2 has been split into 2 'cases'
(both refine t2)

```
t2a ≐
STATUS
  ordinary
REFINES
  t2
WHEN
  sm_isin_S2 : S2 = TRUE
THEN
  sm_leaveState_S2 : S2 = FALSE
  sm_enterState_S1 : S1 = TRUE
END

t2b ≐
STATUS
  ordinary
REFINES
  t2
WHEN
  sm_isin_S2 : S2 = TRUE
THEN
  sm_leaveState_S2 : S2 = FALSE
  sm_enterState_S1 : S1 = TRUE
END
```

Adding a Nested Statemachine



Translation of Refinement

INVARIANTS

```
S21.type   : S21 ∈ B00L
S22.type   : S22 ∈ B00L
S23.type   : S23 ∈ B00L
subStates S21,S2   : ¬(S21=TRUE ∧ S2=FALSE)
subStates S22,S2   : ¬(S22=TRUE ∧ S2=FALSE)
subStates S23,S2   : ¬(S23=TRUE ∧ S2=FALSE)
disjointStates S22,S21 : ¬(S22=TRUE ∧ S21=TRUE)
disjointStates S23,S21 : ¬(S23=TRUE ∧ S21=TRUE)
disjointStates S23,S22 : ¬(S23=TRUE ∧ S22=TRUE)
```

```
t2b ≐
STATUS
  ordinary
REFINES
  t2
WHEN
  S2sm_isin_S23   : S23 = TRUE
THEN
  sm_leaveSuperState_S2   : S2 := FALSE
  S2sm_leaveState_S23   : S23 := FALSE
  sm_enterState_S1   : S1 := TRUE
END

tnew1 ≐
STATUS
  ordinary
WHEN
  S2sm_isin_S21   : S21 = TRUE
THEN
  S2sm_leaveState_S21   : S21 := FALSE
  S2sm_enterState_S22   : S22 := TRUE
END
```

Example – Card Validation by PIN

In the ATM example, add a refinement to explain how card validation works.


There is a PIN number associated with a card.

A number is entered at the ATM.


If the number matches the inserted cards PIN the validation succeeds.

If the number doesn't match the PIN the validation fails.


Refined Class Diagram




Refined Statemachine



New nested statemachine



New nested statemachine - elaboration



Summary

Extended Class Types

Refined Classes & Inherited Attributes

Moving events between classes

Statemachine refinement

- transition splitting

- nested statemachines

Provide feedback:

Experience cfs@ecs.soton.ac.uk

Bug reports http://sourceforge.net/tracker/?group_id=108850&atid=651669

Feature requests http://sourceforge.net/tracker/?group_id=108850&atid=651672