

## **The “Helpful Environment”: Geographically dispersed intelligent agents that collaborate**

Austin Tate, AIAI, University of Edinburgh, 3-May-2006

The first 50 years of AI has given us powerful techniques and tools, and some have found significant and valuable application. Many people are helped on a regular basis by AI technology, both directly and indirectly, through the goods they use and the services they receive, or in the course of their work. The promise of ubiquitous computing, sensor grids, home robots and web services is an exciting new driver for AI which should see its reach into our everyday lives extend still further. The role of AI in underpinning much of the emerging Semantic Web is one example already of how widely the methods will be used in future.

Imagine a future environment where sophisticated sensors and autonomous or semi-autonomous diagnosis, protection and repair systems will be integral to clothing, communications devices, vehicles, transportation systems, buildings and the environment. These would form the basis for a distributed, adaptable and resilient ‘safety net’ (Safety.net, 2002) for every individual and organization at personal, family, business, regional, national and international levels. In natural disaster-prone areas government legislation, building codes and insurance requirements would ensure that appropriate sensor/actuator systems were included in all future PDAs, communication devices, vehicles and buildings to assist both their users and those around them. Systems would adapt and respond to emergencies whether communication were possible or not. Where feasible, local help would be used, with appropriate calls on shared services facilitated whenever this is both possible and necessary. Through this framework requests for assistance could be validated and brokered to available and appropriate services in a highly distributed market fashion. Services would be provided to individuals or communities through this network to add value and give all sorts of assistance beyond the emergency response aspects. In emergency situations, the local infrastructure would be augmented by the facilities of the responder teams at any level from local police, ambulance and fire response, all the way up to international response. An emergency zone’s own infrastructure could be augmented on demand by laying down temporary low cost sensor grids and placing specialized devices and robotic responders into the disaster area.

### **Emergency Response Challenges**

The United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA, 2006) is one of the international bodies that are charged with assisting in international crises. The OHCA lists its primary functions as follows:

- Developing common strategies
- Assessing situations and needs
- Convening coordination forums
- Mobilizing resources
- Addressing common problems
- Administering coordination mechanisms

These are challenges faced by any group or organization that intends to help in a crisis.



Figure 1: Typical Emergency Response Coordination Center – in this case, that of the Tokyo Metropolitan Government [Image © Austin Tate]

Local or regional governments are often responsible for the event handling, planning, coordination and status reporting involved in responding to an emergency. They must harness local response capabilities to augment their own by calling on the resources of others when required. Figure 1 shows an emergency response center (this one for the Tokyo Metropolitan Government) which, in the event of an emergency, will provide information and support to the public (through emergency phone lines), to the responders and to the decision making authorities.

Across a range of emergency response scenarios, we can identify a common set of requirements that emerge and for which intelligent computer systems might be of assistance:

- Sensor data management and fusion
- Accurate information gathering
- Correlation and validation
- Relevant and understandable communication
- Contact making
- Requests for assistance and matching to available capabilities
- Use of Standard Operating Procedures and Alarms
- Planning and coordination
- Scale and robustness

## AI Challenges

There are many AI challenges to be addressed to give such support and to make the vision a reality. Kitano and Tadokoro (2001) outlined some of this in a 50-year programme of work for future rescue robotics in Japan. They have also introduced the annual RoboCup Rescue Simulation competition held to test systems in a simulation of the 1995 Kobe earthquake (Figure 2). There have been several other proposals for "Grand Challenges" in computing and AI which take as their theme emergency response (Safety.net, 2002; I-Rescue Grand Challenges, 2006). As examples, the UK Advanced Knowledge Technologies (AKT) programme (Aktors, 2005) is addressing emergency response challenge problems, and a European follow-on programme called OpenKnowledge (2006) is to use emergency response as one of its challenge problems. The FireGrid project is seeking to link sophisticated and large-scale sensor grids and faster than real-time simulations to emergency response coordination systems.




Figure 2: Devastation following an Earthquake [Image by permission of Earthquake Engineering Research Center, University of California, Berkeley]

We can outline a number of core technologies, many having an essential AI component, which need to be developed, matured and integrated with other systems to make this vision of a connected world a reality. Examples of these technologies include:

1. Sensors and Information Gathering
  - a. sensor facilities, large-scale sensor grids
  - b. human and photographic intelligence gathering
  - c. information and knowledge validation and error reduction
  - d. Semantic Web and meta-knowledge
  - e. simulation and prediction
  - f. data interpretation
  - g. identification of "need"
2. Emergency Response Capabilities and Availability
  - a. robust multi-modal communications
  - b. matching needs, brokering and "trading" systems
  - c. agent technology for enactment, monitoring and control
3. Hierarchical, distributed, large scale systems
  - a. local versus centralized decision making and control
  - b. mobile and survivable systems
  - c. human and automated mixed-initiative decision making
  - d. trust, security
4. Common Operating Methods
  - a. shared information and knowledge bases
  - b. shared standards and interlingua
  - c. shared human-scale self-help web sites and collaboration aids
  - d. shared standard operating procedures at levels from local to international
  - e. standards for signs, warnings, etc.
5. Public Education
  - a. publicity materials
  - b. self-help aids
  - c. training courses
  - d. simulations and exercises

Running through all these is the need for flexible and extendible representations of knowledge with rapidly altering scope, and with changing versions and refinements. There cannot be a single monolithically agreed representation of all the knowledge that will be involved. The science and technology of ontologies and their management will be vital to sustain this knowledge.

## **FireGrid**

The technologies outlined above are drawn from a number of fields, some more mature than others, with each having its own philosophy and assumptions. However, the technological and research advances that are necessary to realize this vision are starting to be made. As an example, one project which needs, and is helping to develop, such an integrated and inter-disciplinary approach is FireGrid (Berry et al., 2005; FireGrid, 2006). This is a UK project to address emergency response in the built environment, where sensor grids in large-scale buildings are linked to super-real time grid-based simulations, and used to assist fire responders to work with the building's internal response systems and occupants to form a team to deal with the emergency.





context and status of the agents and products involved (e.g. through emerging geo-location services for people and products). It also provides for the real-time communication of activities and tasks between both human and automated resources.



Figure 4. An I-X Process Panel, and its accompanying tools, shown here engaged in coordinating the response to a simulated environmental emergency [Image © AIAI]

I-X agents and the underpinning <I-N-C-A> ontology can be used in a range of systems including supportive interfaces for humans and organizations, and potentially in intelligent sensors and robotic devices. It can thus act as a shared mechanism for coordinating these and for providing them with intelligent planning and process support.

I-Rescue and I-X systems aim to be part of a future environment in which there are:

- Multi-level emergency response and aid systems
- Personal, vehicle, home, organisation, district, regional, national, international levels of assistance
- Backbone for progressively more comprehensive aid and emergency response
- Also used for aid-orientated commercial services
- Robust, secure, resilient, distributed system of systems
- Advanced knowledge and collaboration technologies
- Low cost, pervasive sensors, computing and communications
- Changes in building codes, regulations and practices

## **Advanced Knowledge Technologies e-Response**

The Collaborative Advanced Knowledge Technologies in the Grid (CoAKTinG) project (Buckingham Shum et al., 2002) used technologies from the UK Advanced Knowledge Technologies programme (Aktors, 2006) to support distributed scientific collaboration in an emergency response situation – in particular in a scenario involving the management of an oil spill. Focusing on the interchange between humans in the scenario, CoAKTinG provided tools to assist scientific collaboration by integrating intelligent meeting spaces, ontologically annotated media streams from on-line meetings, decision rationale and group memory capture, meeting facilitation, planning and coordination support, argumentation, and instant messaging/presence.

The focus of AKT as a whole is on the provision of ‘next generation’ knowledge technologies, particularly in the context of the semantic web as both a medium and a target domain for these technologies. New work on the AKT project is focused on a challenge problem dealing with the aftermath of a civil cargo aircraft crashing on a large city – an actual scenario for which there are existing contingency plans in place. It looks at how to use the semantic web to assist in making sense of the situation, both to guide emergency responders and to find appropriate specialized rescue and medical capabilities.

## **OpenKnowledge e-Response**

OpenKnowledge (OpenKnowledge, 2006) is a European Union project involving Edinburgh, Southampton and the Open Universities in the UK, Amsterdam in The Netherlands, Trento in Italy and Barcelona in Spain. The goal of the project is to provide an open framework for agent interaction and coordination in knowledge-rich environments. It focuses on two challenge problems, one of which is emergency monitoring and management. This domain has been chosen as a testbed because it demands a combination of geographical and geo-presence knowledge alongside active support for collaboration and planning in multi-agent, dynamic situations.

The need to harness electronic communication networks in emergency situations has been recognised as a European research priority. Quoting from the EU “Emergency Response Grid” programme (EU, 2006):

“In times of crisis – be it a natural disaster, terrorist attack or infrastructure failure – mobile workers need to work together in time-critical and dangerous situations. Real-time access to information and knowledge, powered by Grids, will help save lives. Crises are complex situations, with large numbers and varieties of mobile workers – medical and rescue teams, police, fire fighters and other security personnel – appearing on the spot at short notice. These different teams come from different organizations, and generally have incomplete or even contradictory knowledge of the crisis situation.”

For OpenKnowledge the challenge of a testbed in this domain is in the rapidity of formation of emergency coalitions – often very intense and opportunistic “communities of practice” where judging the quality of an answer is critical and dynamic. OpenKnowledge involves the exploration of peer-to-peer services such as:

- Network data sources: Sensor data flows need to be coordinated in the large. These data will be classified locally and will need to be made available to different “experts/peers” on the basis of contextual classification schema.
- Collaborative services: to support planning, communication and coordination within the expert peers community.
- Mitigation assessment services: as potential emergency situations are identified, mitigation needs can be determined and prioritized.
- Preparedness services: supporting those activities that prepare for actual emergencies.

### **Collaborative Operations for Personnel Recovery**

Personnel recovery (search and rescue) teams must operate under intense pressure, taking into account not only hard logistics, but also "messy" factors such as the social or political implications of a decision. The “Collaborative Operations for Personnel Recovery” (Co-OPR) project has developed decision-support for sensemaking in such scenarios, seeking to exploit the complementary strengths of human and machine reasoning. Co-OPR integrates the Compendium (Buckingham-Sum et al., 2006) sensemaking-support tool for real time information and argument mapping, with the I-X (Tate et al., 2002) artificial intelligence planning and execution framework to support group activity and collaboration. Both share a common model for dealing with issues, the refinement of options for the activities to be performed, handling constraints and recording other information. The tools span the spectrum with Compendium being very flexible with few constraints on terminology and content, to the knowledge-based reliance on rich domain models and formal conceptual models (ontologies) of I-X. In a personnel recovery experimental simulation of a UN peacekeeping operation, with roles played by military planning staff, the Co-OPR tools were judged by external evaluators to have been very effective.

### **International Systems and Organizations**

The infrastructure and organizations that would be required to make the vision of the helpful environment possible are being put in place. The Galileo European Satellite Navigation System (Galileo, 2006) and its mobile geo-location and emergency response services programme will be another spur to development. Both commercial and freely provided emergency response facilities are being interwoven to ensure active development and support over a long period.

Examples of the type of ‘helpful organization’ that will enact this vision are already starting to emerge. An organization called the Multinational Planning Augmentation Team (MPAT; Weide, 2006), consisting of 33 nations situated around the Pacific Rim, has been developing shared knowledge and procedures to assist in responses to regional crises. MPAT used computer collaboration aids, and a simple brokering system, during the December 2004 – February 2005 Indian Ocean Tsunami response to help affected countries gain access the specialized capabilities of response organizations more effectively. MPAT is an excellent example of people training and working together to ensure they are ready to respond better to emergencies. It would be a prime beneficiary and exploiter of any future ‘helpful environment’.



On a smaller scale the Washington DC area is conducting a programme called Capital Wireless Integrated Network (CapWIN, 2005) led by IBM and the University of Maryland. This programme works with local services to support responses by all agencies to incidents occurring on a single freeway interchange in the DC area. Its aim is to show the potential value of coordinated wireless computing services for integrated and cohesive response across the police, the ambulance service, emergency support teams, the fire brigade, hazardous material units and the military.

### **The Helpful Environment**

Imagine in the not too distant future that every citizen, vehicle, package in transit and other "active devices" can be treated as a potential sensor or responder. Individuals or vehicles that need help, as well as local, regional, national and international emergency agencies, could look up specialized capabilities or find local assistance through a much more responsive and effective environment. Systems could inter-operate to enable preventative measures to be taken so that those in imminent danger could be forewarned by their own systems, and by the people, vehicles and buildings around them.

These would support a diverse range of uses, such as:

- Disaster response and evacuation
- Terrorism incident response
- Civil accidents
- Disease control
- Business continuity
- Family emergencies
- Transportation aids
- Help desks
- Procedural assistance

A truly "*helpful environment*" could be created that is accessible by all. In the second 50 years of AI, let's make it happen!

### **Acknowledgements**

Projects mentioned in this paper have been sponsored by a number of organizations. The University of Edinburgh and research sponsors are authorized to reproduce and distribute reprints and on-line copies for their purposes notwithstanding any copyright annotation hereon. The views and conclusions contained herein are those of the author and should not be interpreted as necessarily representing the official policies or endorsements, either expressed or implied, of other parties.

## References

Aktors (2006) AKT: Advanced Knowledge Technologies.

<http://aktors.org>

Berry, D., Usmani, A., Terero, J., Tate, A., McLaughlin, S., Potter, S., Trew, A., Baxter, R., Bull, M. and Atkinson, M. (2005) FireGrid: Integrated Emergency Response and Fire Safety Engineering for the Future Built Environment, UK e-Science Programme All Hands Meeting (AHM-2005), 19-22 September 2005, Nottingham, UK.

<http://www.aiai.ed.ac.uk/project/ix/documents/2005/2005-escience-ahm-firegrid.doc>

Buckingham Shum, S., De Roure, D., Eisenstadt, M., Shadbolt, N. and Tate, A. (2002) CoAKTinG: Collaborative Advanced Knowledge Technologies in the Grid, Proceedings of the Second Workshop on Advanced Collaborative Environments, Eleventh IEEE Int. Symposium on High Performance Distributed Computing (HPDC-11), July 24-26, 2002, Edinburgh, Scotland.

<http://www.aiai.ed.ac.uk/project/ix/documents/2002/2002-wace-coakting.pdf>

Buckingham Shum, S., Selvin, A., Sierhuis, M., Conklin, J., Haley, C. and Nuseibeh, B. (2006). Hypermedia Support for Argumentation-Based Rationale: 15 Years on from gIBIS and QOC. In: *Rationale Management in Software Engineering* (Eds.) A.H. Dutoit, R. McCall, I. Mistrik, and B. Paech. Springer-Verlag: Berlin

CapWIN (2006) Capital Wireless Integrated Network.

<http://capwin.org>

EU (2006) European Union Emergency Response Grid

[www.cordis.lu/ist/grids/emergencey\\_response\\_grid.htm](http://www.cordis.lu/ist/grids/emergencey_response_grid.htm)

FireGrid (2006) FireGrid: The FireGrid Cluster for Next Generation Emergency Response Systems.

<http://firegrid.org>

Galileo (2006) Galileo: European Satellite Navigation System.

[http://europa.eu.int/comm/dgs/energy\\_transport/galileo/](http://europa.eu.int/comm/dgs/energy_transport/galileo/)

I-Rescue (2006) I-Rescue: I-X for Emergency Response and Related Grand Challenges.

<http://i-rescue.org>

Kitano, H. and Tadokoro, S. (2001) RoboCup Rescue: A Grand Challenge for Multiagent and Intelligent Systems, *Artificial Intelligence Magazine*, Spring, 2001, American Association of Artificial Intelligence.

MPAT (2006) Multinational Planning Augmentation Team Multinational Forces Standing Operating Procedures (MNF SOP)

<http://www2.apan-info.net/mpat/>

OHCA (2006) United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs  
<http://ochaonline.un.org/>

OpenKnowledge (2006) OpenKnowledge.  
<http://openk.org>

Safety Net (2002) Safety.net Grand Challenge Proposal. CRA Conference on "Grand Research Challenges" in Computer Science and Engineering Workshop, June 23-26, 2002, Warrenton, Virginia.  
<http://www.cra.org/Activities/grand.challenges/slides/ubiquitous.pdf>

Tate, A., Dalton, J., and J. Stader, J. (2002) I-P2- Intelligent Process Panels to Support Coalition Operations. In *Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Knowledge Systems for Coalition Operations (KSCO-2002)*. Toulouse, France, April 2002.

Tate, A. (2003) <I-N-C-A>: an Ontology for Mixed-Initiative Synthesis Tasks. In *Proceedings of the Workshop on Mixed-Initiative Intelligent Systems (MIIS) at the International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI-03)*, Acapulco, Mexico, August 2003.

Tate, A., Dalton, J., Siebra, C., Aitken, S., Bradshaw, J.M. and Uszok, A. (2004) Intelligent Agents for Coalition Search and Rescue Task Support, AAAI-2004 Intelligent Systems Demonstrator, in *Proceedings of the Nineteenth National Conference of the American Association of Artificial Intelligence, (AAAI-2004)*, San Jose, California, USA, July 2004.  
<http://www.aiai.ed.ac.uk/project/ix/documents/2004/2004-aaai-isd-tate-cosarts.pdf>

Weide, S.A. (2006) Multinational Crisis Response in the Asia-Pacific Region: The Multinational Planning Augmentation Team Model, in "The Liaison", Center of Excellence in Disaster Management & Humanitarian Assistance (COE-DMHA), February 2006.  
<http://www.coe-dmha.org/liaison.htm>

### Author Biography



Austin Tate is Director of the Artificial Intelligence Applications Institute (AIAI) and holds the Personal Chair of Knowledge-Based Systems at the University of Edinburgh. He is Chief Technical Officer of I-C2 Systems Ltd. - a company seeking to develop advanced aids for emergency response. He is a Fellow of the Royal Society of Edinburgh (Scotland's National Academy), Fellow a number of other bodies, and Assistant Editor in Chief and Advisory Board member for IEEE Intelligent Systems. His internationally sponsored research work involves advanced knowledge and planning technologies, especially for use in emergency response.