# PAKE-based Mutual HTTP Authentication for Preventing Phishing Attacks

Yutaka Oiwa

Hiromitsu Takagi

Hajime Watanabe

Research Center for Information Security (RCIS) National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST)

> Hirofumi Suzuki Yahoo! Japan, Inc.

## ABSTRACT

We developed a new Web authentication protocol with passwordbased mutual authentication which prevents various kinds of phishing attacks. This protocol provides a protection of user's passwords against any phishers even if a dictionary attack is employed, and prevents phishers from imitating a false sense of successful authentication to users. The protocol is designed considering interoperability with many recent Web applications which requires many features which current HTTP authentication does not provide. The protocol is proposed as an Internet Draft submitted to IETF, and implemented in both server side (as an Apache extension) and client side (as a Mozilla-based browser and an IE-based one).

**Categories and Subject Descriptors:** K.6.5 [Management of Computing and Information Systems]: Security and Protection—Authentication

General Terms: Security, Standardization.

Keywords: Network protocol, Mutual authentication, HTTP.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We propose a new password-based mutual authentication protocol for Web systems which prevents various kinds of phishing attacks. Currently, initial design of the protocol is finished, an extension for Apache Web server and two client browsers (Mozilla and IE-based) supporting the new protocol are implemented, and the specification is submitted to IETF as an Internet Draft [1] for standardization.

Recently, phishing attacks are getting more and more sophisticated. Phishers not only steal user's password directly, but imitate successful authentication to steal user's sensitive information, check the password validity by forwarding the password to the legitimate server, or employ a man-in-the-middle attack to hijack user's login session. Existing countermeasures such as one-time passwords can not completely solve these problems.

Our protocol prevents such attacks by providing users a way to discriminate between true and fake web servers using their own passwords. Even when a user inputs his/her password to a fake website, using this authentication method, any information about the password does not leak to the phisher, and the user certainly notices that the mutual authentication has failed. Phishers cannot make such authentication attempt succeed, even if they forward received data from a user to the legitimate server or vice versa. Users can safely input sensitive data to the web forms after confirming that the mutual authentication has succeeded.

Copyright is held by the author/owner(s). *WWW 2009*, April 20–24, 2009, Madrid, Spain. ACM 978-1-60558-487-4/09/04. To achieve this goal, we use a kind of cryptographic scheme called PAKE (Password-Authenticated Key Exchange) authentication algorithms as a basis. The use of PAKE mechanism allows users to use familiar ID/password based accesses, without fear of leaking any password information to the communication peer or eavesdroppers. The protocol, as a whole, is designed as a natural extension to the current HTTP authentication schema such as Basic and Digest access authentication (RFC 2617). To use PAKE mechanism for such a purpose, we had to modify it to prevent credential forwarding (man-in-the-middle) attacks.

We also designed new user-interface for this authentication system. To prevent phishing attacks, it is important to make users easily determine whether the server authentication has been succeeded or not. This information must be protected from forgery by phishers, otherwise phishers deliberately convince users that the mutual authentication is established, and let users input sensitive information to the phishing sites.

# 2. RELATED WORK

There are several existing proposals which can be used for preventing phishing attacks. TLS-SRP extension introduces a kind of PAKE into TLS. Although it can be a good solution for closed applications like VPN or IPP, it is not convenient for general web systems.

Several web toolbar plugins have own login facility to the specific sites, which effectively provides host-authentication. However, it is unacceptable to install a number of plugins for all possible web sites the users access.

TLS server authentication is not powerful enough to prevent phishing, as certificates (especially so-called "class-1" certificates) can be acquired by any party including phishers. Phishing using HTTPS certified by publicly-accepted PKI is already a real issue, as users do not always keep attentions to the subject of issued certificates.

Another solution is to issue a special certificates for each "genuine" site (as accepted by the vendors of anti-phishing products). However, it is completely "closed" solution with unclear criteria for "genuineness".

"pwd\_hash" prevents phishing by making the transmitted data different for each sites, even for the same password. However, to prevent phishers from guessing the real password, the original password must be very long (e.g. more than 30 characters) to prevent off-line attacks.

## 3. DESIGN PRINCIPLES

Our design of the protocol addresses the following criteria for preventing phishers from forging users and stealing private infor-

#### WWW 2009 MADRID!

mation including passwords and other data

- The protocol is generic: As the algorithm uses passwords as a basis of authentication, a single implementation of the algorithm can be used for any web sites without specific authorizations for "genuine sites".
- The protocol is a natural extension to existing HTTP authentication algorithms defined in RFC 2617. It can be easily integrated to web servers and clients, and the protocol can pass through existing web proxies, load balancers, or TLS accelerators without modification to such intermediate machines. Our protocol works both for HTTP and for HTTPS. For confidentiality and transport-layer safely, our protocol is designed to be used in combination with existing TLS (HTTPS) mechanisms.
- The authentication is sound: if users have connected to a phishing site with wrong host-name (which do not know the user's password), the authentication will never succeed, and the users can reliably be aware to the authentication failure. This property must hold even if the genuine site can be used as an oracle (e.g. forwarding attacks).

Further more, in such cases no information about the user's password is leaked to the phishers. By analyzing the communication data, even if they performed exhaustive search (off-line attack), no information about the password can be acquired. This means it is *safe* to input their password to the phisher's site.

# 4. PROTOCOL OVERVIEW

Brief view of our protocol is described in this section. Our protocol uses the "Key Agreement Mechanism 3" (KAM3, Section 6.3) defined in ISO/IEC 11770-4 as a cryptographic basis. Server-side password database, which contains pairs of a user-name and the password verification element v calculated from user's password (" $\pi$ " below), is prepared beforehand.

Firstly, as a response to a client's request (without any authentication), the server sends a usual HTTP 401 response to request authentication.

```
GET / HTTP/1.1
Host: www.example.com
HTTP/1.1 401 Authentication required
WWW-Authenticate: Mutual algorithm=iso11770-4-ec-p256,
validation=host, realm="Protected Contents", stale=0
Content-Type: text/html; charset="IS0-8859-1"
```

After the user has input the user-name and the password, the client calculates the password hash  $\pi$  by combining host-name, realm, user-name and the password. The use of the host-name here prevents phishers from exploit credential forwarding attacks.

The client also constructs a value  $w_a$  according to ISO/IEO 11770-4, and sends the second request. Then the server respond with an intermediate 401 response containing the value  $w_b$  using the password verification element v in the password database. It also sends the session id "sid" which is used to distinguish concurrent authentication sessions.

```
GET / HTTP/1.1
Host: www.example.com
Authorization: Mutual algorithm=iso11770-4-ec-p256,
    validation=host, user=foobar, wa=xxxx
HTTP/1.1 401 Authentication required
WWW-Authenticate: Mutual sid=yyyy, wb=zzzz,
    nc-max=256, nc-window=64, time=300, path=/
```

After that, the client sends a third request containing value of  $o_a$ . The value  $o_a$  is a hash value calculated according to ISO/IEO 11770-4 except that it also uses the host-name, the value of nonce counter (nc) to calculate it. The server calculates the same value and verifies whether the client is acceptable. If so, the server sends the final response with the value  $o_b$ . The receiving client *must* verify the value  $o_b$  to check whether the server is genuine.

GET / HTTP/1.1 Host: www.example.com Authorization: Mutual sid=yyyy, nc=0, oa=wwww

HTTP/1.1 200 OK Authentication-Info: Mutual sid=yyyy, ob=vvvv Content-Type: text/html; charset="ISO-8859-1"

If second request to the same host is sent, the client can reuse the session key by directly sending the third message to the server using the same session ID. In this case, we only need to perform a hash operation but no public-key operations.

#### 5. USER INTERFACES CONSIDERATION

Oue possibility for an attack to our scheme is to forge the UI dialogs for asking passwords using this protocol. To prevent such kind of attacks, our extension for Mozilla Firefox uses the addressbar area (where web-pages do not have access to) for password input instead of using dialogs, and introduces a indicator for displaying the authentication status.



Changing your personal information

Your registered user information is as follows:

(b) Mutual authentication has been succeeded. The username "mutualtest" is displayed in the chrome area.

## 6. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

We designed a new password-based Web mutual authentication protocol which prevents various kinds of phishing attacks. We have implemented a server-side module and two client implementation based on Mozilla and Microsoft Internet Explorer. These implementations and the protocol specification, as well as our online demonstration, are available online from our homepage<sup>1</sup>.

## 7. REFERENCE

 Y. Oiwa, H. Watanabe, H. Takagi and H. Suzuki, Mutual Authentication Protocol for HTTP, Internet Draft available at https://datatracker. ietf.org/drafts/draft-oiwa-http-mutualauth/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>https://www.rcis.aist.go.jp/special/MutualAuth/